

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,666	04/06/2001		Timothy J. Neuberger	365279-001	6738
23565	7590	03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
KLAUBER			KWON, BRIAN YONG S		
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1614	
				DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/827,666	NEUBERGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian S. Kwon	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely 2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely 2.136(a). MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2005.					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	9-66 is/are withdrawn from consider	ration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Application or its documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicants' filing of the instant application as a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.1114.

2. By Amendment filed October 17, 2005, claims 8-12, 19, 28, 34, 46, 47, 50 and 55-58 have been amended. Claims 8-12, 19-28, 34-36, 46-51, 55-58 and 67-74 are currently pending for prosecution on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 8-12, 19-28, 34-36, 46-51, 55-58 and 67-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "increasing neural expression of eNCAM, MAP II, beta-tubulin, nestin, NF or NF-PO4 in the bone marrow or neural cells", "promoting growth or differentiation of growth and differentiation of neural precursor cells" or "treating spinal cord injury by administering bone marrow cells from N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide-treated animal to a site of injury in animal", does not reasonably provide enablement for "promoting neural cell growth or differentiation", "promoting recovery of cells expressing neuronal progenitor cell markers after injury to the neuronal cells", "promoting neural cell growth or differentiation of neural cells" and "treating injury to neuronal cells", with the administration of compounds of formula (II). The specification does not enable

Application/Control Number: 09/827,666

Art Unit: 1614

any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are:(1) the quantity of experimentation necessary; (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (3) the presence or absence of working examples; (4) the nature of the invention; (5) the state of the prior art; (6) the relative skill of those in the art; (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (8) the breadth of the claims When the above factors are weighed, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

The instant specification discloses that the instant invention relates to promoting neural tissue regeneration or neural expression. The specification defines neural tissue as "all tissue endogenous to the nervous system" (page 13, lines 10-12 and lines 22-26); neural expression as the expression of any proteins indicative of neural tissue growth or neural tissue cell differentiation from progenitor cells (page 13, lines 13-18); and neural progenitor cells as "any cell that can differentiate into a neural tissue cell, or be induced to differentiate into a neural tissue cell, including neural precursor cells, whether directly or through intermediate cell stages" (page 14, lines 1-3). As the specific embodiments of the invention, the instant specification discloses <u>in-vitro</u> study testing the activity of N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide in increasing neural expression of eNCAM, MAP II, beta-tubulin, nestin, NF and NF-PO4 (Examples 1 and 2) and <u>in-vitro</u> study testing the activity of N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide

Application/Control Number: 09/827,666

Art Unit: 1614

fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide in increasing the growth of neurons or astrocytes (Example 4). The instant specification also discloses that animals (Fischer F344 female rats) treated with bone marrow cells from N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide-treated donor animal demonstrates a decrease in cavity size at the contusion injury site, in vivo study (Example 3).

The specification does not provide sufficient guidance for the skilled artisan how to ascertain (i) which proteins indicative of neural tissue growth or neural tissue cell differentiation from progenitor cells other than the disclosed eNCAM, MAP II, beta-tubulin, nestin, NF and NF-PO4, and (ii) which neural tissues, neural precursor cells or progenitor cells other than bone marrow cells would be enabled in this invention in animals or human. Furthermore, the specification does not provide sufficient guidance for the skilled artisan how to ascertain that (iii) the growth of neuron or astrocytes by the administration of N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide in vitro would lead to the improvement of the functional recovery of neurons, and (iv) provide the effective treatment of complex neurodegenerative diseases or conditions that may have unrelated manifestation in vivo, without undue amount of experimentation.

The instant invention relates to method of promoting neural cell growth or differentiation (claims 8-12, 34-36, 67-68); a method for promoting recovery of cells expressing neuronal progenitor cell markers after injury to the neuronal cells (claims 19-28); a method for treating injury to neuronal cells (claims 46-51, 55-56); a method for promoting growth and differentiation of neural precursor cells (claims 57-58), wherein methods requires the

Art Unit: 1614

administration of compounds of formula II. More specifically, claims 34-36 and 57-58 are directed to transplantation method.

The prior art recognizes the treatment of spinal cord injury by replacing damaged neural tissue with transformed cells of neural and non-neural origins, neutralizing the nerve-growth inhibitory properties of various proteins in the CNS environment, as well as introduction of stem cells or progenitor cells.

The relative skill of those in the art of pharmaceuticals is high. The unpredictability of the pharmaceutical art is very high. Applicants have not provided any competent evidence or disclosed tests that are highly predictive for the claimed utility of the instant compounds.

As stated above, with the exception of "method of increasing neural expression of eNCAM, MAP II, beta-tubulin, nestin, NF or NF-PO4", "promoting growth or differentiation of growth and differentiation of neural precursor cells" with the transplantation method described in claim 57 or "treating spinal cord injury by administering bone marrow cells from N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide-treated animal to a site of injury in animal", the skilled artisan cannot envision that (a) the administration of N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide is capable of increasing the expression of other known neural proteins (e.g., vimentin, Sox2, Ki-67, GD2 ganglioside, MAP2ab, NeuN, FMRP, Tau, GFAP, dublecortin, CD133, CD44, CD81, CD90, CD29, NumA and etc...), and (b) promoting regeneration of diverse neural tissues, neural precursor cells, progenitor cells or tissue of neural origin (e.g., schwanne cells, stems cells, oligodendrites, etc...) in animals or human; and (c) the administration of N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide, without neutralizing the nerve-growth inhibitory properties of various proteins in the CNS environment, is capable of providing the desired effects

Art Unit: 1614

of the claimed invention, particularly claims 8-12, 19-28, 46-56 and 67-72 where no transplantation method is required, in animals or human.

The breath of the instant claims encompasses promotion of neural cells (e.g., stem cells, progenitor cells, neurons, glial cells, astrocytes, oligodendrites, etc...), the expression of neural proteins (e.g., eNCAM, MAP II, beta-tubulin, nestin, NF and NF-PO4, vimentin, Sox2, Ki-67, GD2 ganglioside, MAP2ab, NeuN, FMRP, Tau, GFAP, dublecortin, CD133, CD44, CD81, CD90, CD29, NumA and etc...) or the treatment of complex neurodegenerative conditions (e.g., multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Huntington's chorea, diabetes, senile dementia, dysplasia, myelitis, spinal ataxia, Friedreich's ataxia, cerebellar cortical degenerations, Refsum's disease, abetalipoprotemia, ataxia, telangiectasia, mitochondrial multi.system disorder, transverse myelitis, anterior horn cell degeneration, such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, infantile spinal muscular atrophy and juvenile spinal muscular atrophy, Down's Syndrome in middle age, Diffuse Lewy body disease, Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome, chronic alcoholism; Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, Subacute sclerosing panencephalitis, Hallerrorden-Spatz disease, Dementia pugilistica, etc...), that are known today, and those that may be discovered in the future.

For the reason given above, in view of the nature of the invention, the amount of guidance present in the specification, the breath of the claims, the relative skill of those in the art, and the predictability or unpredictability of the art, it would take undue trials and errors to practice the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1614

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites, "promoting growth or differentiation of neural precursor cells in vitro after injury to the neural cells". It is understood that "injury to the neural cells" occurs in vivo condition, not in vitro. Applicant's recitation of "in vitro" along with "after injury to the neural cells" leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the invention to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear. Although the dependent claim 28 clarifies the subject matter of the independent claim 19 by "the neural precursor cells obtained from a mammal", it is considered that the meaning of the claims (claims 19-27) should be clear from the wording of the claim alone. As discussed above, the applicant's omission of essential step renders the claimed invention vague and unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 8-12, 19-23, 57, 67 and 69-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nair et al. (US 4965284).

Art Unit: 1614

Nair teaches the use of the claimed compounds including N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide for modulating the immune system; stimulating the proliferation and differentiation of blood cell progenitors in vitro or in vivo (bone marrow of warm-blooded animals); accelerating the recovery of white blood cell progenitors in vitro or in vivo (bone marrow of warm-blooded animals); and enhancing the activity of immune cells and/or immunoregulatory proteins, wherein said compound (composition containing said compound) is administered to warm-blood animal or warm-blood animals conditioned to chemical or irradiation therapy in amounts ranging from about 5 mg to about 400mg/kg of body weight per day, preferably from about 25mg to abut 500mg/kg of body weight per day (column 7, lines 17-23; column 8, lines para. 1; column 12, lines 60-66; claims, especially claims 16-23).

Although Nair is silent about the instantly required "promoting neural tissue regeneration or expression" (claim 8); "the tissue is of neuronal origin and the method is for promoting neural expression" (claim 10); "the administration is effective to promote the neural expression of one or more proteins selected from the group consisting of: eNCAM, MAP II, beta-tubulin, nestin, NF and NF-PO4" (claim 12); "promoting recovery of behavioral function of neurons after a decrease in neural function" (claim 19); and "promoting regeneration of neural precursor cells" (claim 57), such properties or characteristic deem to be inherently presented in the referenced method. Where the administration of same compound (i.e., N-[4-[(4-fluorophenyl)sulfonyl]phenyl]-acetamide) at overlapping dosage amounts (i.e., about 5 mg to about 400mg/kg of body weight per day, preferably from about 25mg to abut 500mg/kg of body weight per day) to same treatment population (i.e., "warm blooded animal", "warm blooded animal" conditioned to "chemical or irradiation therapy"), the instantly claimed mechanism of

Art Unit: 1614

action must be inherently presented in the prior art (Nair). Therefore, Nair anticipates the claimed invention.

Conclusion

6. Claims 73 and 74 are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 272-0581. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 am to 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Brian Kwon
Patent Examiner
AU 1614

Bal